Canonically quantized soliton in the bound state approach to heavy baryons in the Skyrme model

V. Regelskis* and E. Norvaišas†
Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astronomy of Vilnius University, Goštauto 12, Vilnius 01108, Lithuania

The bound state extension of Skyrme's topological soliton model for the heavy baryons is quantized canonically in arbitrary reducible representations of the SU(3) flavor group. The canonical quantization leads to an additional negative mass term, which stabilizes the quantized soliton solution. The heavy flavor meson in the field of the soliton is treated with semiclassical quantization. The representation dependence of the calculated spectra for the strange, charm and bottom baryons is explored and compared to the extant empirical spectra.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Dc, 14.20.Jn, 14.20.Lq, 14.20.Mr

arXiv:0809.4157v2 [hep-ph] 25 Sep 2008

I. INTRODUCTION

Skyrme's topological soliton model for the baryons [1] is a chiral symmetric mesonic representation of QCD in the limit of large N_C. In this limit baryons are constructed as topological soliton solutions to the effective chiral meson Lagrangian. The baryon number is the second Chern number of the meson field [2]). In the semiclassical version of the model the mass of the pion, which is the Goldstone boson of the spontaneously broken chiral symmetry, is introduced through adding a complementary symmetry breaking term to the Skyrme Lagrangian.

The model yields a qualitative description of the lower energy part of the spectra of the nucleons and delta resonances, but its direct extension to describe strange, or heavier, baryons fails phenomenologically because of the badly broken SU(3) symmetry [3]. In the chiral limit all quarks are massless, which implies a model with unbroken SU(3) and higher flavor symmetry groups. The reason is that the flavor quantum numbers are associated with rotations of collective coordinates, although the collective coordinates approach is associated with unbroken flavor symmetry. When the symmetry is badly broken, the rigid rotator treatment fails, with poor phenomenology as a consequence [4]. A phenomenologically more successful alternative approach of treating hyperons as bound states of Skyrme solitons and non-topological fields of K mesons was introduced by Callan and Klebanov [5, 6]. In this approach the hyperons with strange and heavier flavor number appear as bound states of mesons with the appropriate quantum number and the soliton. In the harmonic approximation the meson-soliton system is described by a linear wave equation.

When the meson field is expanded perturbatively the model Lagrangian separates into two parts corresponding soliton and meson field, which interacts with the soliton field. We show that canonical quantization of the soliton, which respects the non-commutativity of quantum variables leads to quantum stabilizing term, which lowers the soliton mass. The dependence of the meson-soliton interaction on the representation of the heavy flavor SU(3) is analyzed here in detail, with emphasis on the Wess-Zumino and the symmetry breaking terms. It is found that new bound states with higher excitation number can appear in higher representations of SU(3) group, which are absent in the fundamental representation. In general the ordering of the calculated spectra of the heavy flavor baryons agree with the extant empirical values.

The model Lagrangian and the traditional treatment of the kaon fields that represent heavier meson fields in bound state approach is reviewed in Sec. II. The generalization of the bound state Skyrme model to representations of arbitrary dimension is also presented in this section. In Sec III. the soliton is quantized canonically ab initio in the collective coordinates framework and embedded into bound-state model Lagrangian. Sec. IV. contains the wave equation for the meson field in arbitrary representations and the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian density in terms of creation-annihilation operators to derive final model Hamiltonian. Sec V. contains the physical interpretation of the hyperons states that arise in the model along with a comparison of the the calculated spectra to experimental data. Sec. VI contains a summarizing discussion. The notation employed for the SU(3) group algebra is given in the Appendix A.

*Electronic address: vidas@itpa.lt
†Electronic address: norvaisas@itpa.lt

II. MODEL LAGRANGIAN

A. The bound state model

The action of Skyrme model extension to the hyperons is

$$S = \int d^4x \left(\mathcal{L}_{Sk} + \mathcal{L}_{SB}\right) + S_{WZ}. \tag{1}$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_{Sk}$ is Lagrangian density of the original Skyrme model [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Sk} &= -\frac{f_\pi^2}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left\{(\partial_\mu \mathbf{U})\mathbf{U}^\dagger(\partial^\mu \mathbf{U})\mathbf{U}^\dagger\right\} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{32e^2}\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\left[(\partial_\mu \mathbf{U})\mathbf{U}^\dagger, (\partial_\nu \mathbf{U})\mathbf{U}^\dagger\right]^2\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and $\mathcal{L}_{SB}$ and S_{WZ} are the actions for the chiral symmetry breaking and the Wess-Zumino terms respectively.

In the limit of unbroken the $SU(3)$ symmetry the meson field $\mathbf{U}$ takes values in $SU(3)$ group algebra. When $SU(3)$ symmetry is badly broken due to a large meson mass term, the physically relevant field configurations will be small fluctuations into heavy flavor directions around the pionic soliton.

Here we employ the chiral symmetric field ansatz [5]

$$\mathbf{U} = \sqrt{\mathbf{U}_\pi}\mathbf{U}_K\sqrt{\mathbf{U}_\pi} \tag{3}$$

to construct bound states, where

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{U}_\pi} = exp\left(i\frac{1}{f_\pi}\left(\hat{J}^{(1,1)}_{(0,1,m)}\hat{x}^{(m)}\right)F(r)\right), \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_K = exp\left(i\frac{1}{f_K}\hat{J}^{(1,1)}_{(z,1/2,m)}\hat{K}_{(z,1/2,m)}\right). \tag{5}$$

Here the parameters (z, j, m) are used for the basis state notation for the canonical chain $SU(2) \subset SU(3)$ [5]. To a first approximation it suffices to expand the fields only up to the second order. The contribution of higher order terms, which describe self-interactions in the meson field have been found to be small [7].

The kaon (the generalization to the D and B mesons is given in ref. [8, 9]) field has the conventional isodoublet structure:

$$K^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} K^- & \tilde{K}^0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad K = \begin{pmatrix} K^+ \\ K^0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

The meson state is a field that takes values in the adjoint representation of the $SU(3)$ algebra:

$$\begin{array}{ll} K^- = \hat{K}_{\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}, & K^+ = \hat{K}_{\left(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \\ \tilde{K}^0 = -\hat{K}_{\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)}, & K^0 = \hat{K}_{\left(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}. \end{array} \tag{7}$$

The invariance of the soliton under combined spatial and isospin rotations implies the following condition for the eigenmode expansion of the meson field:

$$K(\mathbf{r}, t) = k(r, t)\, Y_{u\, l\, u_0}(\vartheta, \varphi). \tag{8}$$

Here l and u represents orbital and total angular momentum of the meson field respectively.

The radial part $k(r, t)$ of the meson field can be expressed in terms of energy eigenvalues as follows:

$$k(r, t) = \sum_{n>0}\left(k_{-n}(r)\, e^{i\omega_{-n}t}b_n^\dagger + k_n(r)\, e^{-i\omega_n t}a_n\right). \tag{9}$$

Here a_n is the annihilation operator for state with strangeness $S = -1$ and $b_n^\dagger$ is the creation operator for state with strangeness $S = 1$.

B. Generalization to arbitrary representations

The pionic field may be expressed in any irreducible $SU(3)$ group representation as direct sum of irreducible $SU(2)$ group representations [10]:

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{U}_\pi} = \sum_{z,j}^{(\lambda,\mu)} \oplus D^j(\alpha). \tag{10}$$

Using the Maurer-Cartan form notation the explicit form of right chiral current of pionic field can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\nabla_k \sqrt{\mathbf{U}_\pi}\right) \sqrt{\mathbf{U}_\pi}^\dagger &= \left(i\left(F' - \frac{1}{r}\sin F\right)(-1)^m \hat{x}_{-m}\hat{x}_k + i\frac{1}{r}\sin F\,\delta_{m,k} \right. \\ &\left. -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{r}\sin^2\frac{F}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -m & k & n\end{bmatrix}(-1)^m \hat{x}_n \right) \left\langle \left| \hat{J}^{(1,1)}_{(0,1,m)} \right| \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

After substitution of the bound state ansatz (3) into (2) and using (11) for pion field and (5) for the meson field the Skyrme Lagrangian for the meson field in a $u = \frac{1}{2}$ state takes the form below after division by the factor

$$N = \frac{1}{4}\dim(\lambda,\mu)\, C_2(\lambda,\mu). \tag{12}$$

$$L_{Sk} = -M_{cl} + \frac{1}{4f_K^2}\frac{f_\pi}{e}\int d\tilde{r}\tilde{r}^2\left(f\,\dot{\tilde{k}}^2 - h\,\tilde{k}'^2 + V_{eff}\,\tilde{k}^2\right). \tag{13}$$

Here M_{cl} is the classical soliton mass:

$$M_{cl} = 4\pi\frac{f_\pi}{e}\int \tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{r}\,\frac{1}{2}\left(d + 2s + s\left(2d+s\right)\right). \tag{14}$$

The coefficients in this expression are:

$$\begin{aligned} h &= 1 + \frac{1}{4}2s, \\ f &= 1 + \frac{1}{4}\left(d+2s\right), \\ V_{eff} &= -\frac{1}{4}\left(d+2s\right) - \frac{1}{4}2s\left(2d+s\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\left(d+s\right)\right)\left(2c^2 + \left(1-4c\right)l\left(l+1\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\frac{6}{\tilde{r}^2}\left(s\left(c^2 - \left(2c-1\right)l\left(l+1\right)\right) + \frac{d}{d\tilde{r}}\left(\left(c - l\left(l+1\right)\right)\tilde{F}'\sin\tilde{F}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Here the standard notations employed is: $s = \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2}\sin^2\tilde{F}$, $d = \tilde{F}'^2$, $c = \sin^2\frac{\tilde{F}}{2}$. The dimensionless parameters are: $\tilde{r} = ef_\pi r$, $\tilde{F} \equiv F(\tilde{r})$ and $\tilde{k} \equiv k(\tilde{r}, t)$.

C. The Wess-Zumino term

The Wess-Zumino term plays crucial role in bound-state model. It involves the meson field only with one time derivative. This term splits the energies of states with strangeness $S = -1$ and $S = 1$ from one another. The Wess-Zumino action is

$$S_{WZ} = -\frac{iN_c}{240\pi^2}\int d^5x\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma}\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\mathbf{R}_\mu\mathbf{R}_\nu\mathbf{R}_\alpha\mathbf{R}_\beta\mathbf{R}_\gamma\right\}. \tag{16}$$

For the field ansatz (3) it may be reduced to the remarkably elegant expression:

$$S_{WZ} = \frac{iN_c}{2\pi^2}\int d^4x\frac{1}{4!}\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\left(\overline{\mathbf{p}} + \overline{\mathbf{p}'}\right)^3\overline{\mathbf{k}}\right\}. \tag{17}$$

Here we have used differential 1-form notations:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathbf{p}} &= \left(\mathbf{d}\sqrt{\mathbf{U}_\pi}\right)\sqrt{\mathbf{U}_\pi}^\dagger,\\ \overline{\mathbf{p}'} &= \sqrt{\mathbf{U}_\pi}^\dagger\left(\mathbf{d}\sqrt{\mathbf{U}_\pi}\right),\\ \overline{\mathbf{k}} &= (\mathbf{d}\mathbf{U}_K)\,\mathbf{U}_K^\dagger.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Explicit evaluation of (17) upon division by the normalization factor (12) yields:

$$L_{WZ} = -i\frac{C_3(\lambda,\mu)}{C_2(\lambda,\mu)}\frac{3N_c}{80f_K^2}\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int F'\sin^2 F\cdot\left(k^\dagger\dot{k}-\dot{k}^\dagger k\right)dr. \tag{19}$$

In the case of the fundamental $SU(3)$ group representation $(1,0)$ this result agrees with that in ref. [6] up to the overall factor $\frac{1}{16}$, which derives from the different notation of f_K and the present choice of $SU(3)$ group generators.

D. The symmetry breaking term

The $SU(3)$ chiral symmetry breaking term of Lagrangian density is defined as [10]

$$\mathcal{L}_{SB} = \frac{f_\pi^2}{4}\left(m_0^2\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\mathbf{U}+\mathbf{U}^\dagger-2\cdot 1\right\}-2m_8^2\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\hat{J}^{(1,1)}_{(0,0,0)}\left(\mathbf{U}+\mathbf{U}^\dagger\right)\right\}\right). \tag{20}$$

Here (with the exception of the case of the self-adjoint representation)

$$m_0^2 = \frac{1}{3}\left(m_\pi^2+2\frac{f_K^2}{f_\pi^2}m_K^2\right), \tag{21}$$

$$m_8^2 = \frac{10}{3\sqrt{3}}\frac{C_2(\lambda,\mu)}{C_3(\lambda,\mu)}\left(m_\pi^2-\frac{f_K^2}{f_\pi^2}m_K^2\right). \tag{22}$$

For the self-adjoint representations $\lambda=\mu$ the symmetry breaking term is proportional only to m_0^2 because the trace of the second term is equal to zero.

Substitution of the ansatz (3) into (20) leads to the symmetry breaking term for any irreducible $SU(3)$ group rep. The explicit form of the general symmetry breaking term is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SB} = \frac{f_\pi^2}{2}\left(m_0^2Q_{11}^{\lambda,\mu}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}m_8^2Q_{12}^{\lambda,\mu}\right)-\frac{f_\pi^2}{4f_K^2}\left(m_0^2Q_{21}^{\lambda,\mu}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}m_8^2Q_{22}^{\lambda,\mu}\right)K^\dagger K. \tag{23}$$

Here the notation is

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{11}^{\lambda,\mu} &= \sum_{z,j,m}^{\lambda,\mu}\cos\left(2mF(r)\right)-\dim(\lambda,\mu),\\ Q_{12}^{\lambda,\mu} &= \sum_{z,j}^{\lambda,\mu}\left(((\lambda-\mu)+3z)\sum_{m=-j}^{j}\cos\left(2mF(r)\right)\right),\\ Q_{21}^{\lambda,\mu} &= \sum_{z,j,m}^{\lambda,\mu}\left(A_{z,j,m}^{\lambda,\mu}\cos\left(2mF(r)\right)\right),\\ Q_{22}^{\lambda,\mu} &= \sum_{z,j,m}^{\lambda,\mu}\left(((\lambda-\mu)+3z)\,A_{z,j,m}^{\lambda,\mu}\cos\left(2mF(r)\right)\right),\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}A_{z,j,m}^{\lambda,\mu} = -\frac{1}{2j(j+1)}\big[&2j^3+j^4-mz(1+z+\lambda)(z-\mu-1)\\ &-j\left(\lambda-3z^2-2z\lambda+m(3z+\lambda-\mu)+\mu+2z\mu+\lambda\mu\right)\\ &-j^2\left(\lambda-3z^2-2z\lambda-1+m(3z+\lambda+\mu)+\mu+2z\mu+\lambda\mu\right)\big].\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

For the fundamental $SU(3)$ representation $(1,0)$ the symmetry breaking term reduces to the simple form:

$$L_{SB} \;=\; -8\pi \int r^2 f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \sin^2 \frac{F}{2} dr - \frac{1}{4 f_K^2} \int r^2 \left(f_K^2 m_K^2 - f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \sin^2 \frac{F}{2} \right) k^\dagger k \, dr. \tag{26}$$

For higher representations the weight of symmetry breaking grows, especially the heavy meson mass part. This term is crucial for phenomenologically realistic spectra of the heavy flavor hyperons.

III. CANONICAL QUANTIZATION OF THE SOLITON

For the quantization of the Skyrme soliton for arbitrary reducible $SU(3)$ group representations (λ, μ) the quantum operators and soliton field may be separated in the usual way as:

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q}(t)) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}(t)) \, \mathbf{U}_\pi(\mathbf{r}) \, \mathbf{A}^\dagger(\mathbf{q}(t)). \tag{27}$$

The operator $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ may be expressed as a direct sum of Wigner D matrices as:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}(t)) = \sum_{z,j}^{(\lambda,\mu)} \oplus D^j(\mathbf{q}). \tag{28}$$

The three real time dependent parameters $\mathbf{q}(t) = \{q_1(t), q_2(t), q_3(t)\}$ are quantum variables, which represent Euler angles of rotation of the soliton. Consideration of the Skyrme Lagrangian quantum mechanically *ab initio*, the generalized coordinates $\mathbf{q}(t)$ and velocities $\dot{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ have to satisfy the commutation relations [11]:

$$\left[\dot{q}^a, q^b\right] = -f^{ab}(\mathbf{q}). \tag{29}$$

Here the tensor $f^{ab}(\mathbf{q})$ is a function of generalized coordinates $\mathbf{q}$ only. It is symmetric as a consequence of the commutation relation $\left[q^a, q^b\right] = 0$.

The explicit form of $f^{ab}(\mathbf{q})$ can be determined only after imposition of the quantization conditions. Using Weyl ordering of the operators, the commutation relation between a generalized velocity component $\dot{q}^a$ and an arbitrary function $G(\mathbf{q})$ is given by

$$[\dot{q}^a, G(\mathbf{q})] = -i f^{ab}(\mathbf{q}) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^b} G(\mathbf{q}). \tag{30}$$

After substituting (27) into Skyrme soliton Lagrangian (2) the dependence on generalized velocities can be expressed as

$$L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, F) = \frac{1}{2} a(F) \, \dot{q}^a g_{ab}(\mathbf{q}) \, \dot{q}^b + \mathcal{O}\left(\dot{\mathbf{q}}^0\right). \tag{31}$$

The function g_{ab} is interpreted as a metric tensor which can be expressed as a product of functions $C_a'^{(0,1,m)}(\mathbf{q})$ [11]:

$$g_{ab}(\mathbf{q}) \;=\; -\frac{1}{4} \dim(\lambda,\mu) \, C_2(\lambda,\mu) \, (-1)^m \, C_a'^{(0,1,m)}(\mathbf{q}) \, C_b'^{(0,1,-m)}(\mathbf{q}). \tag{32}$$

Here the soliton inertia momentum $a(F)$ is defined as

$$a(F) \;=\; \frac{8\pi}{3 e^3 f_\pi} \int \tilde{r}^2 \sin^2 \tilde{F} \left(1 + \tilde{F}'^2 + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2} \sin^2 \tilde{F} \right) d\tilde{r}. \tag{33}$$

The canonical momentum p_a conjugate to the generalized coordinate q^a is

$$p_a = \frac{1}{2} a(F) \left\{ \dot{q}^b, g_{ba}(\mathbf{q}) \right\}. \tag{34}$$

Employment of the canonical commutation relations leads to the explicit form of the tensor $f^{ab}(\mathbf{q})$:

$$f^{ab}(\mathbf{q}) = \left(a(F) \, g_{ab}(\mathbf{q}) \right)^{-1}. \tag{35}$$

Following [11] we define the angular momentum operator

$$I_a \;=\; -i\left\{p^b, C_{-b}^{\prime(0,1,a)}\left(\mathbf{q}\right)\right\} = (-1)^a\,\frac{ia\left(F\right)}{2}\left\{\dot{q}^b, C_{-b}^{\prime(0,1,a)}\left(\mathbf{q}\right)\right\}, \tag{36}$$

which may be recognized as iso-rotation operator of the soliton.

The explicit form of canonically quantized soliton after division by the factor (12) becomes:

$$\hat{L} = -M_{cl}(F) - \Delta M_{(\lambda,\mu)}\left(F\right) + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{I}}^2}{2a\left(F\right)} + L_{SB(\lambda,\mu)}. \tag{37}$$

Here $\Delta M_{(\lambda,\mu)}\left(F\right)$ is quantum mass correction to the classical soliton mass:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta M_{(\lambda,\mu)}\left(F\right) \;=\; & -\frac{2\pi}{5a^2\left(F\right)}\frac{1}{e^3 f_\pi} \\ & \times\int d\tilde{r}\,\tilde{r}^2\sin^2\tilde{F}\cdot\left(5-11\tilde{F}'^2-\sin^2\tilde{F}\left(16-16\widetilde{F}'^2+\frac{3}{2\tilde{r}^2}\right)\right. \\ & \left.+3C_2\left(\lambda,\mu\right)\left(4\sin\tilde{F}\left(1-\tilde{F}'^2\right)+4\tilde{F}'^2+\frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2}\sin^2\tilde{F}\right)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The corresponding Hamilton operator for the quantum soliton is finally:

$$\hat{H}_{(\lambda,\mu)}(F) \;=\; M\left(F\right) + \Delta M_{(\lambda,\mu)}\left(F\right) + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{I}}^2}{2a\left(F\right)} + M_{SB(\lambda,\mu)}\left(F\right). \tag{39}$$

The energy of the canonically quantized soliton differs from the semi-classically quantized soliton by the appearance of the mass correction $\Delta M_{(\lambda,\mu)}$, which depends on representation. This mass correction is negative and lowers energy of quantum soliton. It shows that quantum soliton is only approximately "rigid body".

Minimization of the energy of quantum soliton leads to an integro-differential equation for the quantum chiral angle $F(\tilde{r})$. The boundary conditions are the same as for classical chiral angle: $F(0)=\pi$, $F(\infty)=0$. At large distances ($\tilde{r}\to\infty$), quantum chiral angle equation takes the asymptotic form:

$$\tilde{r}^2\tilde{F}'' + 2\tilde{r}\tilde{F}' - (2+\tilde{m}_{eff}^2\tilde{r}^2)\tilde{F} = 0, \tag{40}$$

here the quantity $\tilde{m}_{eff}^2$ is defined as

$$\tilde{m}_{eff}^2 = \tilde{m}_\pi^2 - \frac{e^4}{3\tilde{a}\left(F\right)}\left(8\Delta M_{(\lambda,\mu)} + \frac{2i\left(i+1\right)+3}{\tilde{a}\left(F\right)}\right). \tag{41}$$

Here we have used the notation $\tilde{m} = \frac{1}{ef_\pi}m$ and $i\left(i+1\right)$ is the eigenvalue of the operator $\hat{\mathbf{I}}^2$. The solution of the asymptotic equation is

$$F(\tilde{r}) = C\left(\frac{\tilde{m}_{eff}^2}{\tilde{r}}+\frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2}\right)\exp(-\tilde{m}_{eff}^2\tilde{r}), \tag{42}$$

where C is an arbitrary constant, which is determined numerically by tuning the asymptotic solution to the numerical solution.

The requirement for the quantum soliton to be stable with finite mass sets the restriction $\tilde{m}_{eff}^2 > 0$. The stability of the quantum soliton is ensured by the term (38). Its absence in the semi-classical approach leads to the instability of the solution [13]. Furthermore, the appearance of the quantum mass part breaks the scale invariance of the equation of motion, which is the symmetry of the classical Lagrangian. This shows that Skyrme Lagrangian has an anomaly. Therefore, the positive parameter $m_{eff} = ef_\pi\tilde{m}_{eff}^2$ can be interpreted as an effective pion mass.

A. Semi-classical approach to the heavy flavor meson field

In the semi-classical approach the heavy flavor meson field is described in the "rest frame" of the background soliton. In this slowly corotating meson-soliton system the meson effectively becomes an object with isospin zero and

spin effectively equal to the quantum number u [5]. Thus a kaon bounded in the $u=\frac{1}{2}$ wave can be effectively treated as a strange quark. The same treatment is approximately valid for bounded charm and bottom mesons and leads to bound states describing charm and bottom baryons.

The semi-classical part of the bound state Lagrangian after substituting (3) into (2) for the $u=\frac{1}{2}$ wave meson and after dividing by the normalization factor (12) is

$$\delta L = -\frac{1}{4f_K^2}\frac{1}{2}\delta a\left(F,k\right)\dot{\alpha}^2+\frac{1}{4f_K^2}\dot{\alpha}_0 t_0\int\tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{r}\,\left(i\chi\left(\tilde{k}^{\dagger}\dot{\tilde{k}}-\dot{\tilde{k}}^{\dagger}\tilde{k}\right)\right). \tag{43}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\alpha}_m &= i\frac{1}{2}\left\{\dot{q}^{\alpha},C_{\alpha}^{\prime(0,1,m)}\left(\mathbf{q}\right)\right\},\\
\delta a\left(F,k\right) &= \frac{2}{e^3 f_{\pi}}\int\tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{r}\left(\left(\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{3}\sin^2\tilde{F}\right)+\frac{1}{16}\left(\tilde{F}'^2+\frac{2}{\tilde{r}^2}\sin^2\tilde{F}\right)\right)\tilde{k}^2\right.\\
&\quad -\sin^2 F\left(\frac{3}{8}\tilde{F}'^2\sin^2\tilde{F}+\frac{1}{3\tilde{r}^2}\sin^2\tilde{F}\right)\tilde{k}^2\\
&\quad \left.-\frac{1}{8}\frac{d}{d\tilde{r}}\left(\tilde{F}'\sin 2\tilde{F}\right)\tilde{k}^2+\frac{1}{6}\sin^2\tilde{F}\left(\tilde{k}'^2+\frac{2l\left(l+1\right)}{\tilde{r}^2}\tilde{k}^2\right)\right),\\
\chi &= \frac{1}{e^2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\frac{\left(-1\right)^l}{2l+1}\left(\tilde{F}'^2\cos\tilde{F}+\frac{2}{\tilde{r}^2}\sin^2\tilde{F}\right)-\frac{1}{4\tilde{r}^2}\left(\frac{3\left(-1\right)^l}{2l+1}-1\right)\frac{d}{d\tilde{r}}\left(\tilde{r}^2\tilde{F}'\sin\tilde{F}\right)\right.\\
&\quad \left.+\frac{1}{6}\tilde{F}'^2\sin^2\frac{\tilde{F}}{2}-\frac{l\left(l+1\right)}{3\tilde{r}^2}\sin^2\tilde{F}+\frac{2}{3}\sin^2\frac{\tilde{F}}{2}+\frac{\left(-1\right)^l}{2l+1}\cos\tilde{F}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Here $\delta a\left(F,k\right)$ is a small positive parameter, which can be interpreted as an additional contribution to the soliton inertion momentum. This shows that the background field rotating together with quantum soliton slows it down somewhat. The operators multiplied with $\chi\left(\tilde{r}\right)$ are responsible for the spin-spin interaction of soliton and the bounded meson. These operators allow discrimination between states with different total spin.

At the semi-classical level the Wess-Zumino action

$$S_{WZ} = -\frac{iN_c}{2\pi^2}\int_M\frac{1}{4!}\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\overline{\mathbf{w}}^3\left(\overline{\alpha}'+W^{\dagger}\overline{\alpha}'W\right)\right\}, \tag{45}$$

contributes to the spin-spin interaction between the soliton and the heavy flavor meson. Here $\overline{\mathbf{w}}=\mathbf{d}UU^{\dagger}$ and $\overline{\alpha}'=\alpha'^{\dagger}\mathbf{d}\alpha'$ are 1-forms. The semi-classical part of Wess-Zumino Lagrangian can be written in the compact form

$$\delta L_{WZ} = \dot{\alpha}_0 t_0\frac{1}{4f_K^2}\int\tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{r}\,\chi_{WZ}\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right)\tilde{k}^2, \tag{46}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{WZ}\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right) &= \frac{C_3\left(\lambda,\mu\right)}{C_2\left(\lambda,\mu\right)}\frac{1}{10\pi^2}\frac{\tilde{F}'}{\tilde{r}^2}\\
&\quad\times\left(\sin^2\frac{\tilde{F}}{2}\left(3+5\cos\tilde{F}+4\cos 2\tilde{F}-2\left(\cos\tilde{F}-2\cos 2\tilde{F}\right)\right)\right.\\
&\qquad -l\left(l+1\right)\left(2\left(\cos\tilde{F}+\cos 2\tilde{F}\right)-\cos 3\tilde{F}-4\right)\\
&\qquad \left.+l\left(l+1\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(\cos\tilde{F}+4\cos 2\tilde{F}-3\cos 3\tilde{F}\right)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

B. The bound state mechanics

The final expression of the semi-classical Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} L &= -M_{cl} - \Delta M - M_{SB} + \frac{1}{2}\left(a\left(F\right) + \delta a\left(F,k\right)\right)\dot{\alpha}^2 \\ &+\frac{f_\pi}{e}\int \tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{r}\,\left(f\,\dot{\tilde{k}}^2 + i\Lambda\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right)\left(\tilde{k}^\dagger\dot{\tilde{k}} - \dot{\tilde{k}}^\dagger\tilde{k}\right) - h\,\tilde{k}'^2 - \left(M_K^2\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right) + V_{eff}\right)\tilde{k}^2\right) \\ &+\dot{\alpha}_0 u_0 \int \tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{r}\,\left(i\chi\left(\tilde{k}^\dagger\dot{\tilde{k}} - \dot{\tilde{k}}^\dagger\tilde{k}\right) + \chi_{WZ}\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right)\tilde{k}^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Here the coefficients coming from the Wess-Zumino and symmetry breaking terms depend on the chosen representation:

$$\Lambda\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right) = -\frac{e^2}{4}\frac{3}{5}\frac{C_3\left(\lambda,\mu\right)}{C_2\left(\lambda,\mu\right)}\frac{N_c}{2\pi^2\tilde{r}^2}\tilde{F}'\sin^2\tilde{F}, \tag{49}$$

$$M_\pi^2\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right) = -\frac{1}{4}\left(2\tilde{m}_0^2 Q_{11}^{\lambda,\mu} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}\tilde{m}_8^2 Q_{12}^{\lambda,\mu}\right), \tag{50}$$

$$M_K^2\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right) = \frac{1}{4}2\left(2\tilde{m}_0^2 Q_{21}^{\lambda,\mu} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}\tilde{m}_8^2 Q_{22}^{\lambda,\mu}\right). \tag{51}$$

In the case of the fundamental $SU(3)$ group representation these take the simple forms

$$\begin{aligned} M_\pi^2\left(\tilde{r},1,0\right) &= 2\tilde{m}_\pi^2\,\sin^2\frac{\tilde{F}}{2}, \\ M_K^2\left(\tilde{r},1,0\right) &= -\tilde{m}_\pi^2\sin^2\frac{\tilde{F}}{2} + \frac{f_K^2}{f_\pi^2}\tilde{m}_K^2, \\ \Lambda\left(\tilde{r},1,0\right) &= \frac{e^2}{4}N_c\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2}\sin^2\tilde{F}\cdot\tilde{F}'\right). \end{aligned}$$

The equation of motion for the meson field corresponding Lagrangian (48) is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -f\,\ddot{\tilde{k}} + 2i\left(\Lambda\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right) + \frac{e}{f_\pi}\dot{\alpha}_0 u_0\chi\right)\dot{\tilde{k}} \\ &-\left(V_{eff} + M_K^2\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right) - \frac{e}{f_\pi}\dot{\alpha}_0 u_0\chi_{WZ}\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right)\right)\tilde{k} + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2}\left(\tilde{r}^2\,h\,\tilde{k}'\right)'. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Here a term $\delta a\left(F,k\right)$ has been dropped, as it is of order $\frac{1}{N_c^2}$, which is not relevant here.

After substitution of (9) we get two independent equations that represent states with strangeness $S=-1$ and $S=1$ respectively emerge:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\tilde{\omega}_n^2 f + 2\tilde{\omega}_n\left(\Lambda + \frac{e}{f_\pi}\dot{\alpha}_0 u_0\chi\right) - V_{eff} - M_K^2 + \frac{e}{f_\pi}\dot{\alpha}_0 u_0\chi_{WZ}\right)\tilde{k}_n \\ &+\frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2}\left(\tilde{r}^2\,h\,\tilde{k}_n'\right)' = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\tilde{\omega}_{-n}^2 f - 2\tilde{\omega}_{-n}\left(\Lambda + \frac{e}{f_\pi}\dot{\alpha}_0 u_0\chi\right) - V_{eff} - M_K^2 + \frac{e}{f_\pi}\dot{\alpha}_0 u_0\chi_{WZ}\right)\tilde{k}_{-n} \\ &+\frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2}\left(\tilde{r}^2\,h\,\tilde{k}_{-n}'\right)' = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Here $\tilde{\omega} = \frac{1}{ef_\pi}\omega$.

Upon diagonalization of the Hamiltonian in form of energy eigenvalues we find that the canonical momentum conjugate to $\tilde{k}$ is:

$$\tilde{\pi}_m = f\,\dot{\tilde{k}}_m^\dagger + i\left(\Lambda + \frac{e}{f_\pi}\dot{\alpha}_0 u_0\chi\right)\tilde{k}_m^\dagger. \tag{55}$$

The canonical commutation relations lead to the following orthogonality relations:

$$\frac{1}{4f_K^2}\int d\tilde{r}\,\tilde{r}^2\tilde{k}_n\tilde{k}_m\left(\left(\tilde{\omega}_n+\tilde{\omega}_m\right)f+2\left(\Lambda+\frac{e}{f_\pi}\dot{\alpha}_0u_0\chi\right)\right) = \delta_{nm}, \tag{56}$$

$$\frac{1}{4f_K^2}\int d\tilde{r}\,\tilde{r}^2\tilde{k}_{-n}\tilde{k}_{-m}\left(\left(\tilde{\omega}_{-n}+\tilde{\omega}_{-m}\right)f-2\left(\Lambda+\frac{e}{f_\pi}\dot{\alpha}_0u_0\chi\right)\right) = \delta_{nm}. \tag{57}$$

In terms of creation and annihilation operators which obey the usual algebra

$$\left[a_n,a_m^\dagger\right]=\delta_{nm},\qquad \left[b_n,b_m^\dagger\right]=\delta_{nm}, \tag{58}$$

the diagonalized Hamilton operator for kaon fields becomes

$$\hat{H}=\tilde{\omega}_n a_n^\dagger a_n+\tilde{\omega}_{-n}b_{-n}^\dagger b_{-n}. \tag{59}$$

The momentum canonically conjugate to the quantum degrees of freedom is

$$p'_\alpha = -a'\left(F,k\right)\frac{1}{2}\left\{\dot{q}^\beta,g_{\beta\alpha}\left(\mathbf{q}\right)\right\}+iC_\alpha^{\prime(0,1,0)}\left(\mathbf{q}\right)u_0\chi'\left(F,k,\lambda,\mu\right), \tag{60}$$

where

$$a'\left(F,k\right) = a\left(F\right)+\delta a\left(F,k\right); \tag{61}$$

$$\chi'\left(F,k,\lambda,\mu\right) = \int\tilde{r}^2d\tilde{r}\,\left(i\chi\left(\tilde{k}^\dagger\dot{\tilde{k}}-\dot{\tilde{k}}^\dagger\tilde{k}\right)+\chi_{WZ}\left(\tilde{r},\lambda,\mu\right)\tilde{k}^2\right). \tag{62}$$

This differs from (34) because of the influence of the bound meson field. Now we can write the final expression of the angular momentum operator of soliton rotating with the bounded field:

$$I'_a = (-)^a a'\left(F,k\right)\dot{\alpha}_a+\delta_{a,0}t_0\chi'\left(F,k,\lambda,\mu\right). \tag{63}$$

It differs from (36) by additional part which arose because of the interaction with bounded field. Using the canonical Legendre transformation

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\dot{q}^\alpha,p_\alpha\right\}+\int\tilde{r}^2d\tilde{r}\,\left(\tilde{\pi}\dot{\tilde{k}}+\dot{\tilde{k}}^\dagger\tilde{\pi}^\dagger\right)-L \tag{64}$$

the following Hamiltonian obtains:

$$\hat{H} = M_{cl}+\Delta M+M_{SB}+\omega+\frac{1}{2a'\left(F,k\right)}\left(\hat{\mathbf{I}}'^2-u_0^2\chi'^2\left(F,k,\lambda,\mu\right)\right). \tag{65}$$

IV. INTERPRETATION OF PHYSICAL STATES AND NUMERICAL RESULTS

Quantum states of soliton are identified as isospin states. Total angular momentum j of soliton is equal to its isospin $(i,j)=(i,i)$. Lowest energy state of bounded field has $l=1$ [5]. The spin-spin interaction terms let to distinguish states with different total spin. Thus we recognize final state with $(0,1/2)=(0,0)+(0,1/2)$ to be $\Lambda\left(P_{01}\right)$, state with $(1,1/2)=(1,1)+(0,1/2)$ to be $\Sigma\left(P_{11}\right)$ and state with $(1,3/2)=(1,1)+(0,1/2)$ to be $\Sigma^*\left(P_{13}\right)$.

Bound state model has 5 independent parameters - model parameter e, pion decay constant f_π, pion mass m_π, kaon decay constant f_K and kaon mass m_K for calculating properties of hyperons. For calculations of properties of charmed or bottom baryons correspondingly we have to use decay constant f_D or f_B and mesons mass m_D or m_B. All these parameters are measured experimentally except the model parameter e which value can be calculated by setting quantum soliton with isospin $i=\frac{1}{2}$ mass equal to the experimentally measured mass of the nucleon

$$M_N = \frac{f_\pi}{e}M\left(\tilde{F}\right)+e^3f_\pi\left(\Delta M_{(\lambda,\mu)}\left(\tilde{F}\right)+\frac{3}{8a\left(\tilde{F}\right)}\right)+f_\pi^2M_{SB(\lambda,\mu)}\left(\tilde{F}\right). \tag{66}$$

We have calculated hyperon mass spectra for wave $l=1$ using three different values of pion mass parameter m_π in the symmetry braking term (20). We have chosen input parameters to be $M_N=939MeV$, $f_\pi=65.35MeV$, $f_K/f_\pi=1.22$,

$m_K = 495\,MeV$ and three different choices of the pion mass parameter in the symmetry breaking term: $m_\pi = 0\,MeV$, $m_\pi = 71.6\,MeV$ and $m_\pi = 137\,MeV$. The results for irreps $(1,0)$, $(2,0)$ and $(2,1)$ are presented in the table I (the slash means that we have found no stable solutions). The value $m_\pi = 0$ means that pions at classical level are treated as pure Goldstone bosons but they acquire mass at quantum level. The value $m_\pi = 137MeV$ represents the classical mass of the pion in the symmetry breaking term. Although, by setting $m_\pi = 0$ from (41) we get $m_{eff} = 108MeV$ and by setting $m_\pi = 137$ we get $m_{eff} = 193MeV$. By fitting calculations to the nucleon properties we have found the value of the symmetry breaking parameter to be $m_\pi = 71.6MeV$ which leads to the correct effective mass of the pion $m_{eff} = 137MeV$. Consequent calculations results for charmed and bottom baryons for irrep $(1,0)$ are given in tables II and III. The input parameters for charmed baryons are $f_D/f_\pi = 1.7$, $m_K = 1867\,MeV$ and for bottom baryons are $f_B/f_\pi = 2$, $m_K = 5279\,MeV$.

It was shown in ref. [13] that there's an alternative way to do the calculations. One have to choose isoscalar part of nucleon electric mean square radius

$$\left\langle r^2_{E,I=0} \right\rangle = -\frac{2}{\pi}\frac{1}{\left(ef_\pi\right)^2}\int \tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{r}\,\tilde{F}'\sin^2\tilde{F} \tag{67}$$

as input parameter instead of pion decay constant. Now f_π and e are model parameters and can be calculated from equations (66) and (67), although, the ratio f_K/f_π (f_D/f_πor f_B/f_π) is kept fixed as previously. We choose input parameter to be $\left\langle r^2_{E,I=0} \right\rangle = 0.604fm^2$. By fitting calculations to the nucleon properties we find the value of the symmetry breaking parameter to be $m_\pi = 116MeV$. Calculated values of parameter f_π for some irreps and m_π values is given in table IV. Hyperons calculations results for wave $l = 1$ are given in table V and results for wave $l = 0$ are given in table VI. Calculations of charmed and bottom baryons are given in table VII and table VIII.

V. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have discussed the bound state model describing heavy baryons containing a single heavy quark. We have constructed a bound state out of canonically quantized soliton and heavy meson. Soliton was quantized canonically in the framework of the collective coordinates formalism for arbitrary irreducible $SU\,(3)$ representation. We have treated soliton field quantum mechanically *ab initio*. The canonical quantization of the soliton respecting noncommutativity of quantum variables - collective coordinates which are the Euler angles of the soliton rotation, leaded to quantum soliton stabilizing term. This term depends on the representation (λ,μ) and lowers soliton mass. Bounded meson field was treated semiclassically. The symmetry breaking and Wess-Zumino terms play a crucial role for the bounded field and also depend on the representation. For self adjoint representation $\lambda = \mu$ Wess-Zumino term vanishes and symmetry breaking term is restricted to $SU\,(2)$ symmetry breaking term. The bound state approach was done precisely respecting canonical Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalism.

We found semiclassical Hamiltonian describing bounds states in the background of the quantum soliton. The representation (λ,μ) influences the explicit expression of Hamiltonian and tunes effective Yukawa potential. Consequently, the dependence on representation can be interpreted as a new discrete phenomenological parameter of the model. However the explicit physical meaning of the dependence on representation is not completely understood.

The calculations were done for the spectra of the strange, charm and bottom baryons, where they were treated as a bound states of quantum soliton and appropriate flavor meson. The predicted mass values for the non-excited hyperons are very close to the experimental ones. Although, the canonical approach in not very successful in describing excited states. The same remarks are valid for charm and bottom flavored baryons. However, we were able to investigate charmed and bottom baryons only in the fundamental $SU\,(3)$ rep. Also we put a lower bound on the ratio $f_B/f_\pi \geq 2$. The energies of calculations for higher reps are far too high because of rapidly growing influence of symmetry breaking term. Nevertheless, the results could drastically change if different mass term would be employed. The mass term is very important because the heavy meson is treated semiclassicaly. Therefore, right mass term could lead to complete set of states of charmed and bottom baryons.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to prof. Dan-Olof Riska for collaboration and useful discussions.

	$\Lambda\,(1116)$			$\Sigma\,(1193)$			$\Sigma^*\,(1385)$		
irrep. $\backslash$ m_π	0	71.6	137	0	71.6	137	0	71.6	137
$(1,0)$	1049	1029	990	-	1235	1201	-	1355	1374
$(2,0)$	1015	1067	1036	1221	1205	1178	1330	1320	1305
$(2,1)$	1082	1196	1159	1330	1310	1272	1425	1411	1383

TABLE I: Hyperon mass spectra with $l=1$, MeV.

	$\Lambda_c\,(2287)$			$\Sigma_c\,(2455)$			$\Sigma_c^*\,(2520)$		
m_π	0	71.6	137	0	71.6	137	0	71.6	137
	2198	2155	2068	-	2492	2355	-	2658	2606

TABLE II: Charmed baryons spectra for irrep. $(1,0)$ with $l=1$, MeV.

	$\Lambda_b\,(5620)$			$\Sigma_b\,(5810)$			$\Sigma_b^*\,(5830)$		
m_π	0	71.6	137	0	71.6	137	0	71.6	137
	5584	5485	5284	-	6236	5865	-	6325	6177

TABLE III: Bottom baryons spectra for irrep. $(1,0)$ with $l=1$, MeV.

m_π	0	116	137
$(1,0)$	58	54,4	53
$(2,0)$	60	57,6	56,8
$(2,1)$	61,8	60	58,5

TABLE IV: Calculated f_π, MeV.

	$\Lambda\,(1116)$			$\Sigma\,(1193)$			$\Sigma^*\,(1385)$		
irrep. $\backslash$ m_π	0	116	137	0	116	137	0	116	137
$(1,0)$	1128	1098	1086	-	1202	1190	-	1324	1318
$(2,0)$	1118	1089	1078	1231	1191	1180	1319	1287	1278
$(2,1)$	1223	1186	1174	1327	1280	1266	1414	1375	1364

TABLE V: Hyperon mass spectra with $l=1$, MeV.

	$\Lambda\,(1405)$			$\Sigma\,(1660)$			$\Sigma^*\,(1670)$		
irrep. $\backslash$ m_π	0	116	137	0	116	137	0	116	137
$(1,0)$	-	1288	1278	-	1479	-	-	1418	-
$(2,0)$	1249	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
$(2,1)$	1307	-	-	-	1446	-	-	1355	-

TABLE VI: Hyperon mass spectra with $l=0$, MeV.

	$\Lambda_c\,(2287)$			$\Sigma_c\,(2455)$			$\Sigma_c^*\,(2520)$		
m_π	0	116	137	0	116	137	0	116	137
$l=1$	2343	2251	2219	-	2374	2328	-	2563	2531
	$\Lambda_c\,(2593)$			$\Sigma_c\,(2800)$					
$l=0$	-	2468	2435	-	-	-			

TABLE VII: Charmed baryons spectra for rep. $(1,0)$, MeV.

	$\Lambda_b\,(5620)$			$\Sigma_b\,(5810)$			$\Sigma_b^*\,(5830)$		
m_π	0	116	137	0	116	137	0	116	137
$l=1$	5824	5533	5436	-	5797	5659	-	6020	5900
	$\Lambda_b\,(?)$								
$l=0$	-	5770	5673						

TABLE VIII: Bottom baryons spectra for rep. $(1,0)$, MeV.

APPENDIX A: ELEMENTS OF $SU\,(3)$ GROUP ALGEBRA

The $SU\,(3)$ group generators are defined as components of irreducible $(1,1)$ tensors. Their relation to the Gell-Mann generators Λ_k are:

$$\begin{array}{lcllcl} J^{(1,1)}_{(0,0,0)} & = & -\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_8, & J^{(1,1)}_{(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})} & = & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\Lambda_4+i\Lambda_5\right), \\ J^{(1,1)}_{(0,1,0)} & = & \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_3, & J^{(1,1)}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})} & = & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\Lambda_4-i\Lambda_5\right), \\ J^{(1,1)}_{(0,1,1)} & = & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\Lambda_1+i\Lambda_2\right), & J^{(1,1)}_{(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})} & = & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\Lambda_6+i\Lambda_7\right), \\ J^{(1,1)}_{(0,1,-1)} & = & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\Lambda_1-i\Lambda_2\right), & J^{(1,1)}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})} & = & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\Lambda_6-i\Lambda_7\right). \end{array} \tag{A1}$$

In the case of the fundamental representation Λ_k matrices reduce to the standard Gell-Mann matrices λ_k.

The generators $J^{(1,1)}_{(Z,I,M)}$ obey the hermitean conjugation relation:

$$\left(J^{(1,1)}_{(Z,I,M)}\right)^\dagger = (-1)^{Z+M}\,J^{(1,1)}_{(-Z,I,-M)}. \tag{A2}$$

The action of the operators $J^{(1,1)}_{(Z,I,M)}$ on the basis states and the commutation relations are given in [10]. The dimension of an arbitrary representation (λ,μ) is denoted by:

$$\dim(\lambda,\mu) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda+1\right)\left(\mu+1\right)\left(\lambda+\mu+2\right). \tag{A3}$$

The explicit expressions for the quadratic and cubic Casimir operators are, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(\lambda,\mu) &= \frac{1}{3}\left(\lambda^2+\mu^2+\lambda\mu+3\lambda+3\mu\right), \\ C_3(\lambda,\mu) &= \frac{1}{162}\left(\lambda-\mu\right)\left(\lambda+2\mu+3\right)\left(2\lambda+\mu+3\right). \end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

[1] T. H. R. Skyrme, A non-linear field theory, Proc. Roy. Soc., **260**, 127 (1961)

[2] N. Manton, Topological Solitons, Cam. Uni. Press, Cambridge (2004)

[3] A. Manohar, Equivalence of the chiral soliton and quark models in large N, Nucl. Phys. **B248**, 19 (1984)

[4] M. Praszalowicz, A comment on the phenomenology of the SU(3) Skymre model, Phys. Lett. **B158**, 264 (1985)
[5] C. G. Callan and I. Klebanov, Bound-state approach to strangeness in the Skyrme model, Nucl. Phys. **B262**, 365 (1985)
[6] C. G. Callan, K. Hornbostel and I. Klebanov, You are not entitled to access the full text of this document Baryon masses in the bound state approach to strangeness in the skyrme model, Phys. Lett. **B202**, 269 (1988)
[7] M. Björnberg, K. Dannbom and D. O. Riska, The Anharmonic Correction in the Soliton Model of the Hyperons, Nucl. Phys. **A582**, 621 (1995)
[8] M. Rho, D. O. Riska and N. Scoccola, Charmed Baryons as Soliton - D Meson Bound States, Phys. Lett. **B251**, 597 (1990)
[9] M. Rho, D. O. Riska and N. Scoccola, The Energy Levels of the Heavy Flavour Baryons in the Topological Soliton Model, Z. Phys. **A341**, 343 (1992)
[10] D. Jurciukonis, E. Norvaisas and D. O. Riska, Canonical Quantization of SU(3) Skyrme Model in a General Representation, Journal of Math. Phys. **46**, 072103 (2005)
[11] K. Fujjii, A. Kobushkin, K. Sato and N. Toyota, Skyrme-model Lagrangian in quantum mechanics:SU(2) case, Phys. Rev. **D35**, 1896 (1987)
[12] K. Fujjii, K. Sato and N. Toyota, Quantum-mechanical aspects of SU(3) Skyrme model in collective-coordinate quantization, Phys. Rev. **D37**, 3663 (1988)
[13] A. Acus, E. Norvaisas and D.O. Riska, Stability and Representation Dependence of the Quantum Skyrmion, Phys. Rev. **C57**, 2597 (1997)